

Access and Fairness Survey: FY2006 - FY2011 - Background Information

21. The outcome in my case was favorable to me.

	2011		2008		2007		2006	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
1st District								
Brigham City	94%	6%	78%	22%	96%	4%		
Logan	88%	12%	67%	33%	76%	24%		
Randolph	78%	22%	50%	50%	50%	50%		
2nd District								
Bountiful	79%	21%	90%	10%	71%	29%		
Farmington	75%	25%	76%	24%	79%	21%		
Layton	90%	10%	66%	34%	75%	25%		
Morgan	71%	29%	46%	54%	80%	20%		
Ogden	70%	30%						
Ogden Dist.			79%	21%	83%	17%		
Ogden Juv.			79%	21%	79%	21%		
3rd District								
Salt Lake City	83%	17%	78%	22%	77%	23%		
Silver Summit	79%	21%	83%	17%	72%	28%		
Tooele	83%	17%	85%	15%	90%	10%		
West Jordan	82%	18%	78%	22%	82%	18%		
4th District								
American Fork	72%	28%	59%	41%	69%	31%		
Fillmore	60%	40%	67%	33%	78%	22%		
Heber	61%	39%	88%	12%	63%	37%		
Nephi	63%	38%	71%	29%	50%	50%		
Orem			69%	31%	78%	22%		
Provo	76%	24%						
Provo Dist.			80%	20%	71%	29%		
Provo Juv.			82%	18%	83%	17%		
Spanish Fork	94%	6%	69%	31%	69%	31%		
5th District								
Beaver	67%	33%	94%	6%	57%	43%		
Cedar City	75%	25%	82%	18%	79%	21%		
St. George	86%	14%	76%	24%	85%	15%		
6th District								
Junction	0%	100%	86%	14%				
Kanab	100%	0%	90%	10%	78%	22%		
Loa	100%	0%	80%	20%	80%	20%		
Manti	63%	37%	72%	28%	69%	31%		
Panguich	86%	14%	60%	40%	67%	33%		
Richfield	54%	46%	78%	22%	74%	26%		
7th District								
Castle Dale	100%	0%	76%	24%	69%	31%		
Moab	74%	26%	64%	36%	75%	25%		
Monticello	80%	20%	61%	39%	75%	25%		
Price	84%	16%	87%	13%	86%	14%		
8th District								
Duchesne	56%	44%	82%	18%	83%	17%		
Manila	0%	100%	50%	50%	80%	20%		
Roosevelt	75%	25%	76%	24%	75%	25%		
Vernal	89%	11%	71%	29%	67%	33%		

Utah Courts Access and Fairness Survey: 2011 Background Information

21. The outcome in my case was favorable to me.

■ Outcome Favorable ■ Outcome Not Favorable

