Dated: April 03, 2017 /s/ Thomas R. Lee 10:16:45 AM Associate Chief



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH

---00000---

Utah Department of Transportation, Petitioner,

v.

Coalt, Inc., Respondent.

ORDER

Appellate Case No. 20161062-SC

This matter is before the court upon a Petition for Writ of Certiorari, filed on December 21, 2016.

The Petition for Writ of Certiorari is granted as to the following issues:

- 1. Whether the Court of Appeals erred in concluding Petitioner UDOT failed to adequately brief its response to Respondent Coalts valuation argument, erred in its application of Broderick v. Apartment Management Consultants, LLC, 2012 UT 17, ¶19, 279 P.3d 391, and erred in denying UDOTs petition for rehearing.
- 2. Whether the Court of Appeals erred in concluding that Respondent Coalt, Inc., proffered a sufficient basis on appeal for reversing the district courts decision that it was not entitled to augmented compensation for the taking of its property.

The Cross-Petition for Writ of Certiorari is granted as to the following issue:

Whether the court of appeals erred in concluding UDOT had the authority to condemn Cross-Petitioner Coalts land.

A briefing schedule will be established hereafter. Pursuant to Rule 2 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Court suspends the provision of Rule 26(a) that permits the

parties to stipulate to an extension of time to submit their briefs on the merits. The parties shall not be permitted to stipulate to an extension. The parties shall comply with the briefing schedule upon its issuance.

End of Order - Signature at the Top of the First Page