137	would make n	o changes	to the app	pearance of	the documen	t from	when it	was

- 138 filed.
- 139 Some members asked whether the requirement to note the filing date on the
- paper was necessary. Mr. Slaugh noted that the provision should still be there
- to direct the handling of paper filings. He added that the provision would be
- especially important in the circumstances where a judge agreed to accept a pa-
- per for filing. Mr. Whittaker pointed out that the language of the federal rule
- explicitly provided that a judge who accepted a paper for filing was to note the
- filing date on the paper and promptly deliver it to the clerk.
- Mr. Shea noted that as Rule 10(i)(2) provides that a paper electronically signed
- and filed is the original, the metadata appended to the electronic file is suffi-
- cient to comply with the existing rule, and so no changes need to be made.
- 149 Committee members suggested that if the language were kept, that it should
- be noted in an advisory committee note that appending of metadata to the
- electronic file by the electronic filing system constitutes "noting on the paper"
- 152 for purposes of Rule 5(e).
- 153 Mr. Hafen expressed his opinion that the potential for confusion with respect
- to whether electronic papers were in compliance with Rule 5(e) outweighed
- any benefits of leaving the language in, and advocated deleting the language.
- 156 Committee Action. Upon Mr. Hafen's motion, the committee agreed to delete
- the sentence "The filing date shall be noted on the paper" from (e).

158 E. Style Amendments

- 159 Mr. Shea next asked whether the committee would like to consider style
- amendments to the rule. The committee agreed to table the proposed revision
- until the next meeting to allow a draft to be prepared for review that incorpo-
- rates the changes listed above and that restyles the language of the rule as
- appropriate.

165

164 **III. Rule 10**

A. Margins

- 166 **Discussion.** The committee next considered the proposed revision to Rule 10.
- 167 Debra Moore, the District Court Administrator for the Administrative Office of
- 168 Courts, introduced subdivision (d) of the proposed revision, which would
- 169 change the margin requirements for pleadings and papers to one inch, except

- for the first page of proposed orders and other documents signed by the court,
- which would be two inches. Ms. Moore noted that with the introduction of e-
- filing, the clerks no longer needed the extra space at the top to punch holes in
- the paper for inclusion in the file. However, because the electronic signature of
- judges is placed at the top of the first page, there must be extra space at the
- top of the first page.
- 176 Mr. Whittaker noted that in order to create a different margin for the first
- 177 page than for subsequent pages, a section break must be inserted. There is a
- high likelihood that the particular formatting would render improperly when
- 179 converted into RTF and opened with a different word processing program than
- the program that created the file. Mr. Slaugh suggested that, as the proposed
- orders were being submitted in RTF format, perhaps the clerks could edit the
- document to put in the needed space. Ms. Moore responded that editing is of-
- ten too time consuming and opening the file for editing often "breaks" the ex-
- isting formatting. Mr. Battle suggested that rather than setting a special mar-
- gin for the first page, the rule could require a two-inch top margin on all pages
- of a proposed order. That way, there would be no problem with the margin
- 187 formatting rendering improperly.
- 188 Judge Blanch noted that the electronic signature line was rather small and
- asked how much space was needed on the top margin. Judge Toomey sug-
- 190 gested that perhaps a 1.5-inch top margin was sufficient. Mr. Whittaker
- pointed out that a 1.5-inch top margin was the current standard in the federal
- 192 district court for all documents. Mr. Hafen said that 1.5 inches should be
- 193 enough room for the electronic signature line, and would have the benefit of
- 194 conforming to the federal rule. Ms. Moore said that a top margin of 1.5 inches
- would be fine as long as it is big enough to accommodate the signature line.
- 196 Committee Action. It was moved and seconded that, subject to Ms. Moore
- verifying that a 1.5-inch top margin would be sufficient space for the signature
- line, Rule 10(d) be amended to require all pleadings and papers to have a top
- margin of not less than 1.5 inches and a bottom margin of not less than one
- 200 inch. The motion carried on voice vote; while a dissenting vote was noted, no
- 201 division was requested.

202

B. Signature Block

203 **Discussion.** Ms. Moore next introduced subdivision (e) of the proposed revi-

sion, which would require e-filed proposed orders to include the words "signa-

- ture at top of first page" in place of the signature block at the end of the order and would forbid graphic signatures on e-filed pleadings and papers.
- 207 Judge Blanch noted that he regularly edits proposed orders to delete signature
- 208 blocks as well as certificates of service to eliminate confusion between serving
- 209 the proposed order and the order. He also noted that in about 25% of the cases,
- 210 editing the proposed order would cause the formatting to render improperly.
- He asked if there was a way either to get rid of the certificate of service so that
- 212 he could reduce the number of orders he has to edit, or to advise practitioners
- so that the proposed orders they submit did not have formatting problems. Ms.
- 214 Moore responded that the e-filing program was set up to detect certain codes
- 215 within an RTF document that are most likely to cause the formatting to render
- 216 improperly and to automatically reject documents that contain those codes.
- However, the system does not detect all formatting problems.
- 218 Judge Shaughnessy questioned to what extent formatting issues should be
- dealt with in the rules. As the rules take time to amend, he suggested that
- 220 perhaps it would be wiser to just refer in Rule 10 to a style guide that the Ad-
- 221 ministrative Office of Courts could maintain and alter as needed without com-
- 222 ing to the committee to make changes. Several members expressed their
- agreement with this suggestion. Mr. Shea pointed out that (d) and (e) could be
- eliminated if a style guide were adopted. Ms. Moore said that the Administra-
- 225 tive Office of Courts is working on producing and compiling a style guide, but
- suggested that in the meantime the committee should amend the rule to ad-
- dress the immediate problems.
- 228 Mr. Slaugh suggested adding the words "language substantially similar to" be-
- fore "signature at top of first page." He noted that other phrases such as "end
- 230 of document" and "end of order—signature on top of first page" had been rec-
- ommended by the Board of District Court Judges and the federal district court,
- and it should not matter exactly how it is worded so long as the concept is con-
- 233 veyed.
- 234 Mr. Hafen asked whether language similar to "end of document" should be in-
- cluded. Ms. Moore noted that as the approval as to form and/or the certificate
- of service would come after that language, "end of document" would probably
- 237 not be appropriate. Judge Blanch observed that the certificate of service on
- 238 proposed orders leads to confusion, as it is unclear whether it was the proposed
- order or order that was served. He stated that he usually deletes them before
- 240 signing orders. While some members suggested submitting a certificate of

- service of proposed order as a separate document, others objected, as it would 241
- clutter the docket and require the judge to find and review an extra document 242
- 243 that, unlike the proposed order, was not submitted to him or her automati-
- cally. 244

251

- 245 Committee Action. It was moved and seconded that Rule 10(e) be amended
- 246 to add the following language at the end of the subdivision: "If a proposed
- document ready for signature by a court official is electronically filed, the order 247
- must not include the official's signature line and must, at the end of the docu-248
- ment, indicate that the signature appears at the top of the first page." The mo-249
- 250 tion carried unanimously on voice vote.

Graphic Signatures *C*.

- 252The committee turned its attention to eliminating graphic signatures. Ms.
- 253 Moore explained that many practitioners are submitting scanned PDFs rather
- 254 than native PDFs in order to have their signatures appear on the document.
- 255 Documents submitted by e-filing should be searchable, and while it is possible
- 256 to process a scanned file by OCR to make it searchable, those types of PDFs
- 257 create other file-handling problems and are not preferred.
- Mr. Whittaker observed that Adobe Acrobat and other PDF handling programs 258
- 259 allow a user to insert a graphic signature onto a native PDF after it was con-
- 260 verted. Mr. Smith noted that his firm inserts graphic signatures onto native
- 261PDFs by including them as part of his Microsoft Word document before con-
- 262 verting the file to PDF. He felt it was more secure than using a typed signa-
- 263 ture, as the access to his signature graphic was more restricted. He also noted
- 264 that some third parties question the validity of a typed signature on docu-
- 265 ments such as subpoenas, and that it is easier just to use a graphic signature
- for all court documents. 266
- 267 Mr. Whittaker was concerned that the proposed amendment addressed the
- 268 symptom rather than the problem. If the problem was scanned PDFs, then the
- 269 rule should require documents to be in native PDF format. Ms. Moore re-
- 270 sponded that the Electronic Filing Guide requires a signed document submit-
- 271 ted electronically to be in a searchable PDF format, but practitioners are justi-
- 272fying their submission of scanned or OCR-processed documents by pointing to
- 273 the current rule allowing graphic signatures. While there are ways to put a
- graphic signature on a native PDF, most people who are insisting on graphic 274
- 275 signatures do not submit them that way, and changing the rule would remove
- 276 an impediment to the clerks being able to insist on native PDFs.

MINUTES—URCP ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Nov. 20, 2013

Committee Action. It was moved and seconded that Rule 10(e) be amended as proposed in lines 45-47 of the proposed revision to Rule 10 contained in the meeting materials. The motion carried on voice vote; while a dissenting vote was noted, no division was requested.

D. Other Items and Final Approval

- 282 Mr. Hafen asked for any other proposed changes to the proposed revision before submitting it for public comment. Mr. Slaugh moved that Rule 10(f) be 283 amended to change the sentence "the clerk of the court shall examine all plead-284 ings and other papers filed with the court" to "the clerk of the court may exam-285 286 ine the pleadings and other papers filed with the court." He explained that 287 since the advent of e-filing, pleadings and papers are being filed without the 288 direct involvement of the clerks. This amendment would conform to current 289 practice. The motion was seconded and carried unanimously on voice vote.
- As no other changes were proposed, the proposed revision of Rule 10 as contained in the meeting materials, with such amendments as noted above, was thereby approved for submission to the Administrative Office of Courts for publication and distribution pursuant to UCJA 11-103(2)-(3).

294 IV. Rule 43

281

295

305

306

307

308

309

A. Admissible Evidence

296 The committee next considered the proposed revision to Rule 43. The commit-297 tee first turned its attention to the last sentence of (a), which currently states: 298 "All evidence shall be admitted which is admissible under the Utah Rules of 299 Evidence or other rules adopted by the Supreme Court." Judge Toomey moved 300 that the sentence be removed. She noted that the sentence was tautological 301 and serves no purpose—it just provides that admissible evidence is admissible. 302 Mr. Hafen added that the sentence as constructed is wrong—admissible evi-303 dence that a party chooses not to present need not be admitted. The motion 304 was seconded and carried unanimously on voice vote.

B. Originals of Affidavits

Discussion. Ms. Moore next introduced subdivision (b) of the proposed revision, which would require a party or attorney filing an affidavit with the typed signature of the affiant to keep the "wet-signature" original of the affidavit in his or her possession until the action is concluded. The revision would also re-

MINUTES—URCP ADVISORY COMMITTEE Page X of X Nov. 20, 2013
UNAPPROVED DRAFT—DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE

- 310 quire a clerk to scan and an original of an affidavit filed as a hard copy and re-
- 311 turn it to the party that filed it. Mr. Hafen noted that the language should also
- include a declaration.
- 313 Mr. Whittaker pointed out that current law does not require the existence of
- an original with a wet signature. Utah Code Ann. § 46-4-201 allows a person to
- sign a document electronically. Likewise, under §§ 46-1-16(7) & 46-4-205, a no-
- 316 tary can sign and notarize an affidavit electronically. In such a circumstance,
- 317 there would be no "wet signature" original for the party or attorney to keep.
- 318 Judge Blanch expressed his opinion that as affidavits and declarations are
- 319 evidence, there should be a hard copy with an actual wet signature. The party
- 320 filing the document should be able to indicate the affiant's signature electroni-
- 321 cally on the filed document, but should indicate on that the wet-signature
- original is in his or her possession. He added that there should be some sort of
- a process that impresses upon the affiant that he or she is under oath or mak-
- 324 ing a promise to tell the truth under penalties of law. Other members noted
- 325 that an electronic signature lacks distinction, and so an affidavit or declaration
- 326 that is only signed electronically by a non-party poses an evidentiary prob-
- 327 lem—an affiant who made a false statement would have an easier time deny-
- 328 ing that he or she actually signed the instrument.
- 329 Mr. Slaugh remarked that current law allows him to email a draft declaration
- 330 to a witness and have him or her read it and "sign" the document via confirma-
- tory email. Judge Blanch noted that he would need to keep the email on file.
- 332 Mr. Slaugh also suggested replacing the phrase "until the proceedings are con-
- 333 cluded," as the term "proceedings" could refer to the action or the particular
- motion for which the affidavit was submitted. The committee agreed that the
- 335 phrase should read "until the action is concluded," as the term "action" is de-
- 336 fined in Rule 2.
- 337 **Committee Action.** Mr. Hafen asked for unanimous consent to table the pro-
- 338 posal until the next meeting so that a draft can be prepared that removes the
- last sentence of (a) and takes into account the provisions of Title 46 of the
- 340 Utah Code. No objection was made.

341 V. RULES 74 & 75

- 342 **Discussion.** The committee next considered the proposed revisions to Rules
- 343 74 and 75, which would give a judge discretion to permit an attorney to orally

MINUTES - URCP ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Page X of X

Nov. 20, 2013

- announce his or her limited appearance and/or withdrawal on the record. Ms.
- Moore explained that the purpose of these revisions is to accommodate the Pro
- 346 Bono Project of the Utah State Bar. Currently, the judicial council has given
- 347 the Pro Bono Project a temporary exemption from the electronic filing re-
- 348 quirement to allow participating lawyers to file limited appearances and with-
- drawals at the time of a hearing. This revision would obviate the need for that
- 350 exemption.
- 351 Mr. Slaugh suggested amending the proposed revision to Rules 74(b)(2) and
- 352 75(b) to remove the clause "in a proceeding in which all parties are present or
- 353 represented." He reasoned that since this practice would only be allowed at the
- judge's discretion, there was no reason to limit the judge's discretion if the op-
- posing party was not present at the hearing. The committee generally agreed
- 356 with this suggestion.
- 357 Committee Action. It was moved and seconded that Rules 74 and 75 be re-
- vised as proposed in the proposed revisions contained in the meeting materi-
- als, incorporating Mr. Slaugh's suggested amendments noted above. The mo-
- 360 tion carried unanimously on voice vote.
- 361 Mr. Hafen asked for any other proposed changes to the proposed revision be-
- 362 fore submitting it for public comment. As no changes were proposed, the pro-
- 363 posed revision of Rules 74 and 75 as contained in the meeting materials, with
- such amendments as noted above, was thereby approved for submission to the
- 365 Administrative Office of Courts for publication and distribution pursuant to
- 366 UCJA 11-103(2)-(3).

367 VI. RULE 6

- 368 The committee next considered the proposed revisions to Rule 6, which would
- establish the "days are days" approach to counting time as followed in the fed-
- are eral rules. The proposal would also change deadlines of 30 days or less in many
- of the rules to conform with a uniform length of 7, 14, 21, or 28 days. Mr. Shea
- 372 noted that this proposal had been submitted for comment previously, but that
- 373 the general consensus at the time was to delay adoption of the revision until e-
- 374 filing was implemented.
- 375 Mr. Slaugh suggested replacing the list of holidays in lines 69-82 of the draft
- 376 with a reference to the corresponding provision of the Utah Code, in case the
- 377 legislature were to add, remove, or change the name of any of the listed holi-
- days. Other members felt it was convenient to have a list in the rules, and that

MINUTES—URCP ADVISORY COMMITTEE Page X of X Nov. 20, 2013

- 379 the catchall provision on 81-82 would cover any eventualities. Mr. Slaugh
- 380 withdrew his suggestion.
- 381 Mr. Whittaker raised a concern about the language of lines 65-66 of the draft,
- as it did not account for the existence of 24-hour filing boxes. Ms. Moore re-
- sponded that the practice of filing by 24-hour filing box is being discontinued
- and the boxes are scheduled to be removed. Mr. Whittaker withdrew his con-
- 385 cern.
- 386 Mr. Bell observed that the reference to local rules in lines 38 and 62 should
- 387 probably be deleted. Judge Toomey pointed out that there are still local rules
- incorporated in Chapter 10 of the Code of Judicial Administration, and Rule 6
- 389 should say that it governs the computation of time in those rules. Mr. Slaugh
- agreed and said that the reference to local rules in line 38 should be retained.
- However, the reference in line 62, which would allow Rule 6 to be superceded
- 392 by local rule, should be deleted. The committee generally agreed with Mr.
- 393 Slaugh's suggestion.
- 394 The committee then discussed whether the "three extra days for service by
- mail" provision in lines 92-94 should be revised or deleted. Mr. Bell argued
- against deleting the provision, noting that unlike other forms of service, serv-
- 397 ice by mail takes at least 24 hours from time of service to time of receipt. That
- means that the recipient has less time to respond to a document served by
- mail, justifying the extra time granted in the rule.
- 400 Mr. Shea pointed out that the federal rule retained the provision, as well as
- 401 granting extra time for service by e-filing, email, and every other method of
- 402 service besides hand delivery. Judge Blanch observed that the provision in the
- 403 state rule was very different than the one in the federal rule, and had been for
- several years. While one of the committee's principles of rulemaking is to de-
- 405 part from the federal procedures only when there is a sound reason for doing
- so, he felt that the fact that a document is actually received at the time of serv-
- 407 ice for every method except mailing justified the different procedure. Judge
- 408 Shaughnessy agreed, and observed that the federal rule was not born out of
- 409 logic but compromise—the federal rules committee was concerned that practi-
- 410 tioners would oppose e-filing if the three days of extra time were removed. By
- 411 the time Utah adopted e-filing, everyone was used to the concept of receiving
- 412 filings in his or her email inbox and practitioners had much more confidence in
- 413 the technology.

- 414 Mr. Hafen asked for the sense of the committee on whether (c) should be re-
- 415 tained. The committee generally agreed that it should be retained. Mr. Mars-
- den suggested that an advisory committee note explaining the differences be-
- 417 tween the state rule would be a good idea. Mr. Hafen asked Mr. Marsden if he
- 418 would draft that note for the committee to review concurrently with its consid-
- eration of public comment on the proposed revision. Mr. Marsden agreed to do
- 420 so.
- 421 Ms. McIntosh pointed out that the references to certain subdivisions of Rules
- 422 50, 52, and 59 were incorrect. Mr. Shea responded that he would double-check
- 423 the references and make any necessary alterations before sending the pro-
- 424 posed revision out for comment.
- 425 Committee Action. It was moved and seconded that Rule 6 be revised as
- 426 proposed in the proposed revisions contained in the meeting materials, incor-
- 427 porating the suggested amendments noted above. The motion carried unani-
- 428 mously on voice vote. The proposed revisions to Rule 6 were thereby approved
- 429 for submission to the Administrative Office of Courts for publication and dis-
- 430 tribution pursuant to UCJA 11-103(2)-(3).

VII. RULEMAKING PRINCIPLES

- The committee next considered the draft document "principles of rulemaking,"
- 433 which was revised based on the comments and suggestions made at the com-
- 434 mittee's October meeting. Mr. Hafen explained that the point of this document
- 435 was to provide guidance for him and other committee members to refer to
- 436 when discussing and approving revisions to the rules to ensure that the com-
- 437 mittee's revisions are based on a consistent set of principles and that compet-
- 438 ing principles are considered and reconciled to the extent possible before final
- action is taken on a committee. Mr. Hafen asked for comment on the current
- 440 draft.

431

- Judge Toomey observed that the statement describing priority currently pro-
- 442 vided that "requests from the legislature and supreme court will take priority"
- over other proposals. She suggested adding the board of district court judges to
- 444 that list.
- With respect to the statement describing stability, Mr. Slaugh commented that
- saying that "the rules should not be amended unless there is a need" was
- 447 vague. He noted that a "need" of some sort would always exist and suggested
- 448 that a modifier be added to the word "need" to focus on the nature of the need

MINUTES—URCP ADVISORY COMMITTEE Page X of X

UNAPPROVED DRAFT--DO NOT QUOTE OR CITE

Nov. 20, 2013

- 449 the amendment would fulfill. Mr. Hafen asked whether saying "sufficient
- 450 need" would be adequate. Mr. Slaugh agreed that it would.
- 451 Mr. Marsden asked what was meant by the statement describing
- 452 comprehensiveness, which reads, "The rules should include all procedures to
- 453 avoid unwritten rules." Judge Blanch suggested amending the sentence to
- read, "Practitioners should be able to find in the rules the answers to their
- 455 procedural questions." Mr. Carney added that the inverse statement would
- also be a valuable component of comprehensiveness—procedures that are not
- in the rules should not be used.
- 458 Mr. Hafen thanked the committee members for their comments so far and
- asked them to submit any other comments they may have to him and Mr. Shea
- by email so that a final draft could be prepared for discussion and approval at
- 461 January's meeting.

V. Rule 37

462

- 463 **Discussion.** The committee next considered the proposed revision to Rule 37.
- 464 In September's meeting, the committee directed that a draft of this rule be
- prepared for review that incorporated the expedited procedures for discovery
- 466 motions previously published for comment as part of Rule 7, eliminated re-
- dundancies between the proposed revisions and the existing provisions of Rule
- 468 37, included references to the procedure in Rules 7, 26 and 45, and clarified
- 469 that a motion for sanctions for failure to comply with a discovery order would
- be brought in the form prescribed by Rule 7 rather than using the expedited
- procedures. Having prepared that draft, Mr. Shea presented it to the commit-
- 472 tee. The committee generally approved of the draft as fit for purpose.
- 473 Mr. Shea referred the committee to the alternate language located in line 57 of
- 474 the draft and noted that Mr. Whittaker had previously suggested that instead
- of enumerating a list of things for a nonmoving party to address in its response
- 476 to a motion, the provision could just say that the response "must address the
- issues raised in the motion." Mr. Whittaker pointed out that this language fol-
- 478 lows the language of UCJA 4-502 and is simpler. The committee generally
- agreed to adopt the alternate language in the brackets of line 57 of the draft
- and to delete the language after the brackets in line 57 as well as the language
- 481 in lines 58-60.
- 482 Mr. Hafen asked for other comments on the rule. Mr. Whittaker felt that the
- language of lines 17-21 of the draft (paragraph (a)(2) of the current rule) was

MINUTES—URCP ADVISORY COMMITTEE Page X of X Nov. 20, 2013

484 unclear. While it appears that the intent of this provision was to direct that motions relating to nonparties were to be heard by the court in the county 485 486 where the subpoena was served while motions only dealing with parties were 487 to be heard by the court where the action is pending, the language was un-488 clear. Several members expressed their unfamiliarity with this provision and 489 commented that it did not reflect current practice. Mr. Marsden and Mr. 490 Slaugh both noted that the rule appeared to be modeled after the federal rule, 491 but it made no sense to apply it within the state, as a district court has state-492 wide jurisdiction. Several members suggested taking out the provision entirely 493 or changing the provision to read, "a motion must be made to the court in which the action is pending." 494

- Mr. Bell theorized that the provision had been inserted to accommodate non-495 496 parties by allowing them to bring a motion to quash or defend against a motion 497 to compel close to their homes. Several members agreed that this was probably the case. Judge Blanch argued that even if that was the intent of the provision, 498 it appeared that it was not often complied with and that it would be better to 499 have the rule reflect actual practice. The committee agreed to remove the pro-500 501 vision completely (as well as any corresponding language in Rule 45) and note 502 the removal in an advisory committee note, which Mr. Whittaker agreed to 503 draft.
- 504 Mr. Hafen asked whether the draft was logically ordered, especially with respect to subdivisions (a) and (b). Included in Mr. Hafen's question was whether 505 a motion to compel or for a protective order (which are defined in (a)) was 506 507 brought under (b), or whether the expedited discovery motion was a prerequi-508 site to bringing a motion to compel or for a protective order. If the latter was 509 the case, he thought that the rule should be reordered to make that clear. After some discussion, the committee concluded that an expedited discovery mo-510 511 tion was a means for bringing a motion to compel or for a protective order, not a separate prerequisite for bringing such a motion. The committee also deter-512 mined that it made sense to have the grounds for bringing an expedited dis-513 514 covery motion in (a) and the procedure for bringing the motion in (b).
- Mr. Marsden asked whether a motion to compel brought under the expedited procedures could include a request for expenses and/or sanctions. Other members of the committee were of the opinion that it could not. Mr. Marsden observed that this was a change in existing practice and that the committee may want to indicate that in an advisory committee note. Mr. Whittaker was asked to prepare a note explaining this change for the committee's review.

- Committee Action. Mr. Hafen asked for any other proposed changes to the proposed revision before submitting it for public comment. As no changes were proposed, the proposed revision of Rule 37 as contained in the meeting materials, with such amendments as noted above, was thereby approved for submission to the Administrative Office of Courts for publication and distribution pursuant to UCJA 11-103(2)-(3).
- 527 VI. ADJOURNMENT
- 528 The meeting adjourned at 6:03 p.m. The next meeting will be held on January
- 529 22, 2014 at 4:00 p.m. at the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Tab 2

- Rule 30. Depositions upon oral questions.
 - (a) When depositions may be taken; when leave required. A party may depose a party or witness by oral questions. A witness may not be deposed more than once in standard discovery. An expert who has prepared a report disclosed under Rule 26(a)(4)(B) may not be deposed.
 - (b) Notice of deposition; general requirements; special notice; nonstenographic recording; production of documents and things; deposition of organization; deposition by telephone.
 - (b)(1) The party deposing a witness shall give reasonable notice in writing to every other party. The notice shall state the date, time and place for the deposition and the name and address of each witness. If the name of a witness is not known, the notice shall describe the witness sufficiently to identify the person or state the class or group to which the person belongs. The notice shall designate any documents and tangible things to be produced by a witness. The notice shall designate the officer who will conduct the deposition.
 - (b)(2) The notice shall designate the method by which the deposition will be recorded. With prior notice to the officer, witness and other parties, any party may designate a recording method in addition to the method designated in the notice. Depositions may be recorded by sound, by sound-and-visual, or stenographic means by a certified court reporter as defined by Utah Code Section 58-74-102, and the party designating the recording method shall bear the cost of the recording. The appearance or demeanor of witnesses or attorneys shall not be distorted through recording techniques.
 - (b)(3) A deposition shall be conducted before an officer appointed or designated under Rule 28 and shall begin with a statement on the record by the officer that includes (A) the officer's name and business address; (B) the date, time and place of the deposition; (C) the name of the witness; (D) the administration of the oath or affirmation to the witness; and (E) an identification of all persons present. If the deposition is recorded other than stenographically, the officer shall repeat items (A) through (C) at the beginning of each unit of the recording medium. At the end of the

deposition, the officer shall state on the record that the deposition is complete and shall state any stipulations.

- (b)(4) The notice to a party witness may be accompanied by a request under Rule 34 for the production of documents and tangible things at the deposition. The procedure of Rule 34 shall apply to the request. The attendance of a nonparty witness may be compelled by subpoena under Rule 45. Documents and tangible things to be produced shall be stated in the subpoena.
- (b)(5) A deposition may be taken by remote electronic means. A deposition taken by remote electronic means is considered to be taken at the place where the witness is located.
- (b)(6) A party may name as the witness a corporation, a partnership, an association, or a governmental agency, describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which questioning is requested, and direct the organization to designate one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons to testify on its behalf. The organization shall state, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. A subpoena shall advise a nonparty organization of its duty to make such a designation. The person so designated shall testify as to matters known or reasonably available to the organization.

(c) Examination and cross-examination; objections.

- (c)(1) Questioning of witnesses may proceed as permitted at the trial under the Utah Rules of Evidence, except Rules 103 and 615.
- (c)(2) All objections shall be recorded, but the questioning shall proceed, and the testimony taken subject to the objections. Any objection shall be stated concisely and in a non-argumentative and non-suggestive manner. A person may instruct a witness not to answer only to preserve a privilege, to enforce a limitation on evidence directed by the court, or to present a motion for a protective order under Rule 37. Upon demand of the objecting party or witness, the deposition shall be suspended for the time necessary to make a motion. The party taking the deposition may complete or adjourn the deposition before moving for an order to compel discovery under Rule 37.

(d) **Limits.** During standard discovery, oral questioning of a nonparty shall not exceed four hours, and oral questioning of a party shall not exceed seven hours.

(e) **Submission to witness; changes; signing.** Within 28 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available, a witness may sign a statement of changes to the form or substance of the transcript or recording and the reasons for the changes. The officer shall append any changes timely made by the

witness.

(f) Record of deposition; certification and delivery by officer; exhibits; copies.

- (f)(1) The officer shall record the deposition or direct another person present to record the deposition. The officer shall sign a certificate, to accompany the record, that the witness was under oath or affirmation and that the record is a true record of the deposition. The officer shall keep a copy of the record. The officer shall securely seal the record endorsed with the title of the action and marked "Deposition of (name). Do not open." and shall promptly send the sealed record to the attorney or the party who designated the recording method. An attorney or party receiving the record shall store it under conditions that will protect it against loss, destruction, tampering, or deterioration.
- (f)(2) Every party may inspect and copy documents and things produced for inspection and must have a fair opportunity to compare copies and originals. Upon the request of a party, documents and things produced for inspection shall be marked for identification and added to the record. If the witness wants to retain the originals, that person shall offer the originals to be copied, marked for identification and added to the record.
- (f)(3) Upon payment of reasonable charges, the officer shall furnish a copy of the record to any party or to the witness. An official transcript of a recording made by non-stenographic means shall be prepared under Utah Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(e) by a certified court reporter as defined by Utah Code Section 58-74-102.
- (g) Failure to attend or to serve subpoena; expenses. If the party giving the notice of a deposition fails to attend or fails to serve a subpoena upon a witness who fails to attend, and another party attends in person or by attorney, the court may order

the party giving the notice to pay to the other party the reasonable costs, expenses and attorney fees incurred.

- (h) **Deposition in action pending in another state.** Any party to an action in another state may take the deposition of any person within this state in the same manner and subject to the same conditions and limitations as if such action were pending in this state. Notice of the deposition shall be filed with the clerk of the court of the county in which the person whose deposition is to be taken resides or is to be served. Matters required to be submitted to the court shall be submitted to the court in the county where the deposition is being taken.
- (i) **Stipulations regarding deposition procedures.** The parties may by written stipulation provide that depositions may be taken before any person, at any time or place, upon any notice, and in any manner and when so taken may be used like other depositions.

1 Rule 5. Service and filing of pleadings and other papers. 2 (a) Service: When service is required. 3 (a)(1) Papers that must be served. Except as otherwise provided in these rules 4 or as otherwise directed by the court, the following papers must be served on every 5 party: 6 (a)(1)(A) every a judgment; 7 (a)(1)(B) every an order required by its terms to that states it must be served.: 8 (a)(1)(C) every a pleading subsequent to after the original complaint; 9 (a)(1)(D) every a paper relating to disclosure or discovery; 10 (a)(1)(E) every written motion a paper filed with the court other than one a 11 motion that may be heard ex parte;; and 12 (a)(1)(F) every a written notice, appearance, demand, offer of judgment, and 13 or similar paper-shall be served upon each of the parties. 14 (a)(2) Serving parties in default. No service need be made on parties is 15 required on a party who is in default except that: 16 (a)(2)(A) a party in default shall-must be served as ordered by the court; 17 (a)(2)(B) a party in default for any reason other than for failure to appear shall 18 must be served with all pleadings and papers as provided in paragraph (a)(1); 19 (a)(2)(C) a party in default for any reason shall must be served with notice of 20 any hearing necessary to determine the amount of damages to be entered 21 against the defaulting party; 22 (a)(2)(D) a party in default for any reason shall must be served with notice of 23 entry of judgment under Rule 58A(d); and 24 (a)(2)(E) pleadings asserting new or additional claims for relief against a party 25 in default for any reason shall-must be served in the manner provided for service 26 of summons in under Rule 4 with pleadings asserting new or additional claims for 27 relief against the party. 28 (a)(3) Service in actions begun by seizing property. In If an action is begun by 29 seizure of seizing property, in which and no person is or need be named as 30 defendant, any service required to be made prior to before the filing of an answer,

31 claim or appearance shall must be made upon the person having who had custody or possession of the property at the time of its seizure when it was seized. 32 33 (b) Service: How service is made. 34 (b)(1) Whom to serve. If a party is represented by an attorney, service shall be 35 made a paper served under this rule must be served upon the attorney unless the 36 court orders service upon the party is ordered by the court. If an attorney has filed a 37 Notice of Limited Appearance under Rule 75 and the papers being served relate to a 38 matter within the scope of the Notice, service shall Service must be made upon the 39 attorney and the party if 40 (b)(1)(A) an attorney has filed a Notice of Limited Appearance under Rule 75 41 and the papers being served relate to a matter within the scope of the Notice; or 42 (b)(1)(B) a final judgment has been entered in the action and more than 90 43 days has elapsed from the date a paper was last served on the attorney. 44 (b)(1)(A) (b)(2) When to serve. If a hearing is scheduled 5 7 days or less from 45 the date of service, the a party shall use the method must serve a paper related to 46 the hearing by the method most likely to give prompt actual notice of the hearing be 47 promptly received. Otherwise, a party shall serve a paper under this rule:a paper 48 that is filed with the court must be served before or on the same day that it is filed. 49 (b)(3) **Methods of service.** A paper served under this rule may be served by: 50 (b)(1)(A)(i) upon any person with an electronic filing account who is a party or 51 attorney in the case by (b)(3)(A) submitting the paper it for electronic filing if the 52 person being served has an electronic filing account; 53 (b)(1)(A)(ii) by sending it by email to the person's last known email address 54 (b)(3)(B) emailing it to the email address provided by the party or attorney or to 55 the email address on file with the Utah State Bar, if that the person has agreed to 56 accept service by email or has an electronic filing account; (b)(1)(A)(iii) by faxing it to the person's last known fax number if that person 57 58 has agreed to accept service by fax; 59 (b)(1)(A)(iv) by (b)(3)(C) mailing it to the person's last known address; $\frac{(b)(1)(A)(v)}{by}$ $\frac{(b)(3)(D)}{by}$ handing it to the person;

60

 $\frac{(b)(1)(A)(vi)}{by}$ by $\frac{(b)(3)(E)}{by}$ leaving it at the person's office with a person in 61 62 charge or, if no one is in charge, leaving it in a receptacle intended for receiving 63 deliveries or in a conspicuous place; or 64 (b)(1)(A)(vii) by (b)(3)(F) leaving it at the person's dwelling house or usual 65 place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein 66 who resides there. 67 (b)(1)(B) (b)(4) When service is effective. Service by mail, or email or fax is 68 complete upon sending. Service by electronic means is not effective if the party 69 making service learns that the attempted service did not reach the person to be 70 served. 71 $\frac{(b)(2)}{(b)(5)}$ Who serves. Unless otherwise directed by the court: 72 (b)(2)(A) (b)(5)(A) an order signed by the court and required by its terms to be 73 served or a judgment signed by the court shall must be served by the party 74 preparing it; 75 (b)(2)(B) (b)(5)(B) every other pleading or paper required by this rule to be 76 served shall-must be served by the party preparing it; and 77 (b)(2)(C) (b)(5)(C) an order or judgment prepared by the court shall will be 78 served by the court. 79 (c) Service: N Serving numerous defendants. In any If an action in which there is 80 involves an unusually large number of defendants, the court, upon motion or of its own 81 initiative, may order that: 82 (c)(1) service of the a defendant's pleadings of the defendants and replies thereto need not be made as between to them do not need to be served on the other 83 84 defendants; and that 85 (c)(2) any cross-claim, counterclaim, or matter constituting an avoidance or 86 affirmative defense contained therein shall be in a defendant's pleadings and replies to 87 them are deemed to be denied or avoided by all other parties; and that the 88 (c)(3) filing of any such a defendant's pleadings and service thereof upon serving 89 them on the plaintiff constitutes notice of it-them to the-all other parties; and

90 (c)(4) A a copy of every such the order shall must be served upon the parties in such manner and form as the court directs. 91 92 (d) Filing. All papers after the complaint required to be served upon a party shall be 93 filed with the court either before or within a reasonable time after service. 94 (e) Filing with the court defined. A party may file with the clerk of court using any means of delivery permitted by the court. The court may require parties to file 95 96 electronically with an electronic filing account. Filing is complete upon the earliest of 97 acceptance by the electronic filing system, the clerk of court or the judge. The filing date 98 shall be noted on the paper. 99 (f) (d) Certificate of service. Every pleading, order or A paper required by this rule 100 to be served, including electronically filed papers, shall-must include a signed certificate 101 of service showing the name of the document served, the date and manner of service 102 and on whom it was served. 103 (e) Filing. Except as provided in Rule 7(f) and Rule 26(f), all papers after the 104 complaint that are required to be served must be filed with the court. Parties with an electronic filing account must file a paper electronically. A party without an electronic 105 106 filing account may file a paper by delivering it to the clerk of the court or to a judge of the 107 court. Filing is complete upon the earliest of acceptance by the electronic filing system, 108 the clerk of court or the judge. (f) Filing an affidavit or declaration of someone other than the filer. If a person 109 110 files an affidavit or declaration of a person other than the filer, the filer may: 111 (f)(1) electronically file the original affidavit with a notary acknowledgment as 112 provided by Utah Code Section 46-1-16(7); 113 (f)(2) electronically file a scanned image of the affidavit or declaration; 114 (f)(3) electronically file an RTF of the affidavit or declaration with a conformed 115 signature; or (f)(4) present the original affidavit or declaration to the clerk of the court, and the 116 117 clerk will electronically file a scanned image and return the original to the filer.

Rule 5. Draft: January 15, 2014

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

The filer must keep the original affidavit or declaration safe and available for inspection upon request until the action is concluded, including any appeal or until the time in which to appeal has expired. (g) Service by the court. The court may serve papers by email on a party to the email address provided by the party or on an attorney to the email address on file with the Utah State Bar. **Advisory Committee Notes** Rule 5(d) is amended to give the trial court the option, either on an ad hoc basis or by local rule, of ordering that discovery papers, depositions, written interrogatories, document requests, requests for admission, and answers and responses need not be filed unless required for specific use in the case. The committee is of the view that a local rule of the district courts on the subject should be encouraged. The 1999 amendment to subdivision (b)(1)(B) does not authorize the court to conduct a hearing with less than 5 days notice, but rather specifies the manner of service of the notice when the court otherwise has that authority. 2001 amendments Paragraph (b)(1)(A) has been changed to allow service by means other than U.S. Mail and hand delivery if consented to in writing by the person to be served, i.e. the attorney of the party. Electronic means include facsimile transmission, e-mail and other possible electronic means. While it is not necessary to file the written consent with the court, it would be advisable to have the consent in the form of a stipulation suitable for filing and to file it with the court. Paragraph (b)(1)(B) establishes when service by electronic means, if consented to in writing, is complete. The term "normal business hours" is intended to mean 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. If a fax or e-mail is received after 5:00 p.m., the service is deemed complete on the next business day.

Tab 5

Rule 43. Evidence.

- (a) Form Evidence at trial. In all trials, the testimony of witnesses shall be taken orally in open court, unless otherwise provided by these rules, the Utah Rules of Evidence, or a statute of this state. All evidence shall be admitted which is admissible under the Utah Rules of Evidence or other rules adopted by the Supreme Court.
- (b) **Evidence on motions.** When If a motion is based on facts not appearing of outside the record, the court may hear the matter on affidavits, presented by the respective parties, but the court may direct that the matter be heard wholly or partly on oral declarations, testimony or depositions.

Tab 6

1 Rule 37. Discovery and disclosure motions; Sanctions. 2 (a) Motion for order compelling disclosure or discovery Grounds for expedited 3 **discovery motion**. Following the procedures of paragraph (b): 4 (a)(1) A a party or the person from whom disclosure is required or discovery is 5 sought may move for a protective order 6 (a)(2) a party may move to guash a subpoena or to compel compliance with a 7 subpoena under Rule 45: 8 (a)(3) a party may move for extraordinary discovery under Rule 26; and 9 (a)(4) a party may move to compel disclosure or response to a discovery request and for appropriate sanctions if another party: 10 11 (a)(1)(A) (a)(4)(A) fails to disclose, fails to respond to a discovery request, or 12 makes an evasive or incomplete disclosure or response to a request for 13 discovery; 14 $\frac{(a)(1)(B)}{(a)(4)(B)}$ fails to disclose, fails to respond to a discovery request, 15 fails to supplement a disclosure or response or makes a supplemental disclosure 16 or response without an adequate explanation of why the additional or correct 17 information was not previously provided; 18 $\frac{(a)(1)(C)}{(a)(4)(C)}$ objects to a discovery request; 19 (a)(1)(D) (a)(4)(D) impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a 20 witness; or 21 $\frac{(a)(1)(E)}{(a)(4)(E)}$ otherwise fails to make full and complete disclosure or 22 discovery. 23 (a)(2) A motion may be made to the court in which the action is pending, or, on 24 matters relating to a deposition or a document subpoena, to the court in the district 25 where the deposition is being taken or where the subpoena was served. A motion for 26 an order to a nonparty witness shall be made to the court in the district where the 27 deposition is being taken or where the subpoena was served. 28 (a)(3) The moving party must attach a copy of the request for discovery, the 29 disclosure, or the response at issue. The moving party must also attach a 30 certification that the moving party has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

with the other affected parties in an effort to secure the disclosure or discovery without court action and that the discovery being sought is proportional under Rule 26(b)(2). (b) Motion for protective order. (b)(1) A party or the person from whom disclosure is required or discovery is sought may move for an order of protection. The moving party shall attach to the motion a copy of the request for discovery or the response at issue. The moving party shall also attach a certification that the moving party has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with other affected parties to resolve the dispute without court action. (b)(2) If the motion raises issues of proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), the party seeking the discovery has the burden of demonstrating that the information being sought is proportional. (b) Expedited procedures for discovery motions. (b)(1) Motion length and content. The motion must be no more than four pages, not including permitted attachments, and must include in the following order: (b)(1)(A) the relief sought and the grounds for the relief sought stated succinctly and with particularity: (b)(1)(B) a certification that the requesting party has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the other affected parties in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action; (b)(1)(C) a statement regarding proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2); and (b)(1)(D) if the motion is a motion for extraordinary discovery, a statement certifying that the party has reviewed and approved a discovery budget. (b)(2) Response length and content. No more than 7 days after the moving party has filed the motion, the nonmoving party may file a response. The response must be no more than four pages, not including permitted attachments,

and must address the issues raised in the motion.

60	(b)(3) Attachments. Unless other attachments are required by law, the moving
61	party must attach to the motion only a copy of the request for discovery, the
62	disclosure, or the response at issue. The nonmoving party must attach to its
63	response any required attachments that were omitted by the moving party.
64	(b)(4) Proposed order. Each party must file a proposed order concurrently with
65	its motion or response.
66	(b)(5) Decision. Upon filing of the response or expiration of the time to do so,
67	either party may and the moving party must file a Request to Submit for Decision
68	under Rule 7(d). The court will promptly:
69	(b)(5)(A) decide the motion on the pleadings and papers;
70	(b)(5)(B) schedule a hearing by telephone conference or other electronic
71	communication; or
72	(b)(5)(C) order additional briefing and establish a briefing schedule.
73	(b)(6) Request for expenses or sanctions prohibited. A motion or response
74	under this paragraph may not include a request for sanctions.
75	(b)(7) Motion does not toll discovery time. A motion or response under this
	\(\cdot \cdot \cdo
76	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery.
76	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery.
76 77	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to
76 77 78	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from
76 77 78 79	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve
76 77 78 79 80	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), including one or more of the following:
76 77 78 79 80 81	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), including one or more of the following: (c)(1) that the discovery not be had;
76 77 78 79 80 81 82	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), including one or more of the following: (c)(1) that the discovery not be had; (c)(2) that the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions,
76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), including one or more of the following: (c)(1) that the discovery not be had; (c)(2) that the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time or place;
76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), including one or more of the following: (c)(1) that the discovery not be had; (c)(2) that the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time or place; (c)(3) that the discovery may be had only by a method of discovery other than
76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), including one or more of the following: (c)(1) that the discovery not be had; (c)(2) that the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time or place; (c)(3) that the discovery may be had only by a method of discovery other than that selected by the party seeking discovery;
76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86	paragraph does not suspend or toll the time to complete standard discovery. (c) Orders. The court may make orders regarding disclosure or discovery or to protect a party or person from discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality under Rule 26(b)(2), including one or more of the following: (c)(1) that the discovery not be had; (c)(2) that the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time or place; (c)(3) that the discovery may be had only by a method of discovery other than that selected by the party seeking discovery; (c)(4) that certain matters not be inquired into, or that the scope of the discovery

90 (c)(6) that a deposition after being sealed be opened only by order of the court; 91 (c)(7) that a trade secret or other confidential information not be disclosed or be 92 disclosed only in a designated way; 93 (c)(8) that the parties simultaneously file specified documents or information 94 enclosed in sealed envelopes to be opened as directed by the court; 95 (c)(9) that a question about a statement or opinion of fact or the application of law 96 to fact not be answered until after designated discovery has been completed or until 97 a pretrial conference or other later time; or 98 (c)(10) that the costs, expenses and attorney fees of discovery be allocated 99 among the parties as justice requires. 100 (c)(11) If a protective order terminates a deposition, it shall be resumed only upon 101 the order of the court in which the action is pending. 102 (d) Expenses and sanctions attorney fees for motions. If the motion to compel or 103 for a protective order is granted or denied, or if a party provides disclosure or discovery 104 or withdraws a disclosure or discovery request after a motion is filed, the court may 105 order the party, witness or attorney to pay the reasonable expenses and attorney fees 106 incurred on account of the motion if the court finds that the party, witness, or attorney 107 did not act in good faith or asserted a position that was not substantially justified. A 108 motion to compel or for a protective order does not suspend or toll the time to complete 109 standard discovery. 110 (e) **<u>FSanctions</u>** for <u>failure</u> to comply with order. 111 (e)(1) Sanctions by court in district where deposition is taken. Failure Other than 112 failure to submit to a physical or mental examination, failure to follow an order of the 113 court in the district in which the deposition is being taken or where the document 114 subpoena was served is contempt of that court. 115 (e)(2) Sanctions by court in which action is pending. Unless the court finds that the 116 failure was substantially justified, the court in which the action is pending it may impose 117 appropriate sanctions for the failure to follow its orders contempt of court, including the 118 following:

119 (e)(2)(A)-(e)(1) deem the matter or any other designated facts to be established 120 in accordance with the claim or defense of the party obtaining the order: 121 (e)(2)(B) (e)(2) prohibit the disobedient party from supporting or opposing 122 designated claims or defenses or from introducing designated matters into evidence; 123 $\frac{(e)(2)(C)}{(e)(3)}$ stay further proceedings until the order is obeyed; 124 (e)(2)(D)-(e)(4) dismiss all or part of the action, strike all or part of the pleadings, 125 or render judgment by default on all or part of the action: 126 $\frac{(e)(2)(E)}{(e)(5)}$ order the party or the attorney to pay the reasonable expenses, 127 including attorney fees, caused by the failure; 128 (e)(2)(F) treat the failure to obey an order, other than an order to submit to a 129 physical or mental examination, as contempt of court; and 130 $\frac{(e)(2)(G)}{(e)(7)}$ instruct the jury regarding an adverse inference. 131 (f) Expenses on failure to admit. If a party fails to admit the genuineness of any a 132 document or the truth of any a matter as requested under Rule 36, and if the party 133 requesting the admissions proves the genuineness of the document or the truth of the 134 matter, the party requesting the admissions may apply to the court for an order requiring 135 the other party to pay the reasonable expenses incurred in making that proof, including 136 reasonable attorney fees. The court shall-must make the order unless it finds that: 137 (f)(1) the request was held objectionable pursuant to Rule 36(a); 138 (f)(2) the admission sought was of no substantial importance; 139 (f)(3) there were reasonable grounds to believe that the party failing to admit 140 might prevail on the matter; 141 (f)(4) that the request is-was not proportional under Rule 26(b)(2); or 142 (f)(5) there were other good reasons for the failure to admit. 143 (g) Failure of party to attend at own deposition. The court on motion may take any action authorized by paragraph (e)(2) if a party or an officer, director, or managing 144 145 agent of a party or a person designated under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a) to testify on behalf 146 of a party fails to appear before the officer taking the deposition, after proper service of 147 the notice. The failure to act described in this paragraph may not be excused on the

ground that the discovery sought is objectionable unless the party failing to act has applied for a protective order under paragraph (b).

- (h) **Failure to disclose.** If a party fails to disclose a witness, document or other material, or to amend a prior response to discovery as required by Rule 26(d), that party shall-may not be permitted to use the witness, document or other material at any hearing unless the failure to disclose is harmless or the party shows good cause for the failure to disclose. In addition to or in lieu of this sanction, the court on motion may take any action authorized by paragraph (e)(2).
- (i) **Failure to preserve evidence.** Nothing in this rule limits the inherent power of the court to take any action authorized by paragraph (e)(2) if a party destroys, conceals, alters, tampers with or fails to preserve a document, tangible item, electronic data or other evidence in violation of a duty. Absent exceptional circumstances, a court may not impose sanctions under these rules on a party for failing to provide electronically stored information lost as a result of the routine, good-faith operation of an electronic information system.

Advisory Committee Notes

- [Add to existing notes]
- 165 2014 Amendment.

Paragraph (b) adopts the expedited procedures for discovery motions formerly approved by the Judicial Council. The expedited procedures are intended to be complete, without the need to refer to Rule 7, unless the judge directs that Rule 7 applies.

Rule 37(a)(2), which directed a motion for a discovery order against a nonparty witness to be filed in the judicial district where the subpoena was served or deposition was to be taken, has been deleted. A discovery-related motion brought by or against a nonparty served or deposed within the state must be filed in the court in which the action is pending.

Tab 7

Rule 56. Summary judgment.

- (a) Motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment. A party may move for summary judgment, identifying each claim or defense—or the part of each claim or defense—on which summary judgment is sought. The court shall-must_grant summary judgment if the movant shows that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. The court should state on the record the reasons for granting or denying the motion. The motion and memoranda must follow Rule 7 as supplemented below.
 - (a)(1) Instead of a statement of the facts under Rule 7(c)(2), a motion for summary judgment must contain a statement of material facts claimed not to be genuinely disputed. Each fact must be separately stated in numbered paragraphs and supported by citing to materials in the record under paragraph (c)(1) of this rule.
 - (a)(2) Instead of a statement of the facts under Rule 7(d)(2), a memorandum opposing the motion must include a verbatim restatement of each of the movant's facts that is disputed with an explanation of the grounds for the dispute supported by citing to materials in the record under paragraph (c)(1) of this rule. The memorandum may contain a separate statement of additional facts in dispute, which must be separately stated in numbered paragraphs and similarly supported.
 - (a)(3) The motion and the memorandum opposing the motion may contain a concise statement of facts and allegations for the limited purpose of providing background and context for the case, dispute, and motion. The statement of facts or allegations may cite supporting evidence.
 - (a)(4) Each fact set forth in the motion or in the memorandum opposing the motion that is not disputed is deemed admitted for the purposes of the motion.
- (b) **Time to file a motion.** A party may file a motion for summary judgment at any time until 30 days after the close of all discovery.
 - (c) **Procedures.**
 - (c)(1) **Supporting factual positions**. A party asserting that a fact cannot be genuinely disputed or is genuinely disputed must support the assertion by:

30 (c)(1)(A) citing to particular parts of materials in the record, including 31 depositions, documents, electronically stored information, affidavits or 32 declarations, stipulations (including those made for purposes of the motion only), 33 admissions, interrogatory answers, or other materials; or 34 (c)(1)(B) showing that the materials cited do not establish the absence or 35 presence of a genuine dispute, or that an adverse party cannot produce 36 admissible evidence to support the fact. 37 (c)(2) Objection that a fact is not supported by admissible evidence. A party 38 may object that the material cited to support or dispute a fact cannot be presented in 39 a form that would be admissible in evidence. 40 (c)(3) Materials not cited. The court need consider only the cited materials, but it 41 may consider other materials in the record. 42 (c)(4) **Affidavits or declarations**. An affidavit or declaration used to support or 43 oppose a motion must be made on personal knowledge, must set out facts that 44 would be admissible in evidence, and must show that the affiant or declarant is 45 competent to testify on the matters stated. 46 (d) When facts are unavailable to the nonmovant. If a nonmovant shows by 47 affidavit or declaration that, for specified reasons, it cannot present facts essential to justify its opposition, the court may: 48 49 (d)(1) defer considering the motion or deny it; (d)(2) allow time to obtain affidavits or declarations or to take discovery; or 50 51 (d)(3) issue any other appropriate order. 52 (e) Failing to properly support or address a fact. If a party fails to properly 53 support an assertion of fact or fails to properly address another party's assertion of fact 54 as required by Rule 56(c), the court may: 55 (e)(1) give an opportunity to properly support or address the fact; (e)(2) consider the fact undisputed for purposes of the motion: 56 57 (e)(3) grant summary judgment if the motion and supporting materials—including 58 the facts considered undisputed—show that the movant is entitled to it; or 59 (e)(4) issue any other appropriate order.

- (f) **Judgment independent of the motion.** After giving notice and a reasonable time to respond, the court may:
 - (f)(1) grant summary judgment for a nonmovant;
 - (f)(2) grant the motion on grounds not raised by a party; or
 - (f)(3) consider summary judgment on its own after identifying for the parties material facts that may not be genuinely in dispute.
 - (g) **Failing to grant all the requested relief.** If the court does not grant all the relief requested by the motion, it may enter an order stating any material fact—including an item of damages or other relief—that is not genuinely in dispute and treating the fact as established in the case.
 - (h) Affidavit or declaration submitted in bad faith. If satisfied that an affidavit or declaration under this rule is submitted in bad faith or solely for delay, the court—after notice and a reasonable time to respond—may order the submitting party to pay the other party the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, it incurred as a result.

 An The court may also hold an offending party or attorney may also be held in contempt or subjected to order other appropriate sanctions.

Advisor Committee Notes

The object of the 2014 amendment is to adopt the style of Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 56 without changing the substantive Utah law. The 2014 amendment also
moves to this rule the special briefing requirements of motions for summary judgment
formerly found in Rule 7.

Nothing in these changes should be interpreted as changing the line of Utah cases that the party with the burden of proof on an issue must meet its initial burden to present materials in the record establishing that no genuine issue of material fact exists and that the party with the burden of proof is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Only then must the party without the burden of proof demonstrate that there is a genuine dispute as to a material fact. Orvis v. Johnson, 2008 UT 2, Harline v. Barker, 912 P.2d 433 (Utah 1996), K & T, Inc. v. Koroulis, 888 P.2d 623, (Utah 1994)—contrary to the holding in Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 106 S. Ct. 2548, 91 L. Ed. 2d 265 (1986).

Tab 8

Draft: November 29, 2013

Rule 58A. Entry of judgment; abstract of judgment.
(a) Separate document. Every judgment and amended judgment must be set out in
a separate document, but, unless a separate document is requested by a party, a
separate document is not required for an order disposing of a motion:
(a)(1) for judgment under Rule 50(b);
(a)(2) to amend or make additional findings under Rule 52(b);
(a)(3) for a new trial, or to alter or amend the judgment, under Rule 59;
(a)(4) for relief under Rule 60; or
(a)(5) for attorney's fees under Rule 73.
(a) Judgment upon the verdict of a jury. (b) Without the court's direction.
Unless the court otherwise directs and subject to Rule 54(b), the prevailing party,
without awaiting the court's direction, must promptly prepare the judgment when:
(b)(1) the jury returns a general verdict;
(b)(2) the court awards only costs or a sum certain; or
(b)(3) the court denies all relief.
the The clerk shall must promptly sign and file record the judgment upon the verdict
of a jury in the register of actions.
(c) Court's approval required. If there is the court grants relief not described in
paragraph (b) or if the jury returns a special verdict or a general verdict accompanied by
with answers to interrogatories returned by a jury questions, the court shall direct the
appropriate must promptly approve the form of the judgment, which the clerk shall must
promptly-sign and file record in the register of actions.
(b) Judgment in other cases. (d) Judge's signature; judgment filed with the
clerk. Except as provided in paragraphs (a) (b) and (f)(h) and Rule 55(b)(1), all
judgments shall-must be signed by the judge and filed with the clerk.
(c) (e) When judgment entered; recording.
(e)(1) If a separate document is not required, A a judgment is complete and shall
be deemed is entered for all purposes, except the creation of a lien on real property,
when it is signed and filed as provided in paragraphs (a) or (b) recorded in the

Draft: November 29, 2013

30 register of actions. The clerk shall immediately record the judgment in the register of actions and the register of judgments. 31 32 (e)(2) If a separate document is required, a judgment is complete and is entered 33 for all purposes, except the creation of a lien on real property, when it is recorded in 34 the register of actions and the earlier of these events occurs: 35 (e)(a)(A) the judgment is set out in a separate document; or 36 (e)(2)(B) 150 days have run from the clerk recording the judgment in the 37 register of actions. 38 (d) (f) **Notice of judgment.** The party preparing the judgment shall must promptly 39 serve a copy of the signed judgment on the other parties in the manner provided in Rule 40 5 and promptly file proof of service with the court. Except as provided in Rule of 41 Appellate Procedure 4(g), the time for filing a notice of appeal is not affected by this 42 requirement. 43 (e) (g) Judgment after death of a party. If a party dies after a verdict or decision 44 upon any issue of fact and before judgment, judgment may nevertheless be entered. 45 (f) (h) **Judgment by confession.** If a judgment by confession is authorized by 46 statute, the party seeking the judgment must file with the clerk a statement, verified by 47 the defendant, to the following effect: 48 (f)(1)-(h)(1) If the judgment is for money due or to become due, it shall-must 49 concisely state the claim and that the specified sum is due or to become due. 50 (f)(2) (h)(2) If the judgment is for the purpose of securing the plaintiff against a 51 contingent liability, it must state concisely the claim and that the specified sum does 52 not exceed the liability. 53 $\frac{(f)(3)}{(h)(3)}$ It must authorize the entry of judgment for the specified sum. 54 The clerk shall must sign and file the judgment for the specified sum, with costs of 55 entry, if any, and record it in the register of actions and the register of judgments. 56 (g) (i) Abstract of judgment. The clerk may abstract a judgment by a signed writing 57 under seal of the court that:

Draft: November 29, 2013

(g)(1)-(i)(1) identifies the court, the case name, the case number, the judge or
clerk that signed the judgment, the date the judgment was signed, and the date the
judgment was recorded in the registry of actions and the registry of judgments;
(g)(2)-(1)(2) states whether the time for appeal has passed and whether an
appeal has been filed;
(g)(3)-(i)(3) states whether the judgment has been stayed and when the stay will
expire; and
(g)(4) (i)(4) if the language of the judgment is known to the clerk, quotes verbatim
the operative language of the judgment or attaches a copy of the judgment.

Tab 9

1	Rule 7. Pleadings allowed; motions, memoranda, hearings, orders.
2	(a) Pleadings. Only these pleadings are allowed:
3	(a)(1) a complaint;
4	(a)(2) an answer to a complaint;
5	(a)(3) an answer to a counterclaim designated as a counterclaim;
6	(a)(4) an answer to a cross claim;
7	(a)(5) a third party complaint
8	(a)(6) an answer to a third party complaint; and
9	(a)(7) a reply to an answer if permitted by the court.
10	(b) Motions. A request for an order must be made by motion. The motion must be in
11	writing, unless made during a hearing or trial, must state the relief requested, and must
12	state the grounds for the relief requested. Except for the following, a motion must be
13	made in accordance with this rule.
14	(b)(1) A motion made in proceedings before a court commissioner must follow the
15	procedures of Rule 101.
16	(b)(2) A motion under Rule 26 for extraordinary discovery must follow the
17	procedures of Rule 37(b).
18	(b)(3) A motion under Rule 37 for a protective order or for an order compelling
19	disclosure or discovery—but not a motion for sanctions—must follow the procedures
20	of Rule 37(b).
21	(b)(4) A motion under Rule 45 to quash a subpoena must follow the procedures
22	of Rule 37(b).
23	(b)(5) A motion for summary judgment must follow the procedures of this rule,
24	supplemented by the requirements of Rule 56.
25	(c) Form, name and content of motion. The rules governing captions and other
26	matters of form in pleadings apply to motions and other papers. The movant must title
27	the motion substantially as: "Motion to [short phrase describing the relief requested]."
28	The motion may not exceed 15 pages, not counting relevant portions of documents
29	cited in the motion. An approved over-length motion must include a table of contents

and a table of authorities with page references. The motion must include under appropriate headings and in the following order:

- (c)(1) a concise statement of the relief requested and the grounds for the relief requested;
- (c)(2) one or more sections that include a concise statement of the relevant facts as claimed by the party and argument citing authority for the relief requested; and
- (c)(3) relevant portions of documents cited, such as affidavits or discovery materials or opinions, statutes or rules.
- (d) Name and content of memorandum responding to the motion. A nonmovant may file a memorandum responding to the motion within 14 days after the motion is filed. The nonmovant must title the memorandum substantially as: "Memorandum responding to the motion to [short phrase describing the relief requested]." The memorandum may not exceed 15 page, not counting objections to evidence and relevant portions of documents cited in the memorandum. An approved over-length memorandum must include a table of contents and a table of authorities with page references. The memorandum must include under appropriate headings and in the following order:
 - (d)(1) a concise statement of the party's preferred disposition of the motion and the grounds supporting that disposition;
 - (d)(2) one or more sections that include a concise statement of the relevant facts as claimed by the party and argument citing authority for that disposition;
 - (d)(3) objections to evidence in the motion, citing authority for the objection; and
 - (d)(4) relevant portions of documents cited in the memorandum, such as affidavits or discovery materials or opinions, statutes or rules.
- (e) Name and content of reply memorandum. Within 7 days after the memorandum responding to the motion is filed, the movant may file a reply memorandum, which must be limited to rebuttal of new matters raised in the memorandum responding to the motion. The movant must title the memorandum substantially as "Memorandum replying to the memorandum responding to the motion to [short phrase describing the relief requested]." The memorandum may not exceed 5

pages, not counting objections to evidence, response to objections, and relevant portions of documents cited in the memorandum. The memorandum must include under appropriate headings and in the following order:

- (e)(1) a concise statement of the new matter raised in the memorandum responding to the motion;
- (e)(2) one or more sections that include a concise statement of the relevant facts as claimed by the party and argument citing authority rebutting the new matter;
- (e)(3) objections to evidence in the memorandum responding to the motion, citing authority for the objection; and
- (e)(4) response to objections made in the memorandum responding to the motion, citing authority for the response;
- (e)(5) relevant portions of documents cited in the memorandum, such as affidavits or discovery materials or opinions, statutes or rules.
- (f) Response to objections made in the reply memorandum. If the reply memorandum includes an objection to evidence, the nonmovant may file a response to the objection no later than 7 days after the reply memorandum is filed.
- (g) **Request to submit for decision.** When briefing is complete or the time for briefing has expired, either party may and the movant must file a "Request to Submit for Decision." The request to submit for decision must state the date on which the motion was filed, the date the memorandum responding to the motion, if any, was filed, the date the reply memorandum, if any, was filed, and whether a hearing has been requested. If no party files a request, the motion will not be submitted for decision.
- (h) **Hearings.** The court may hold a hearing on any motion. A party may request a hearing in the motion, in a memorandum or in the request to submit for decision. A request for hearing must be separately identified in the caption of the document containing the request. The court must grant a request for a hearing on a motion under Rule 56 or a motion that would dispose of the action or any claim or defense in the action unless the court finds that the motion or response to the motion is frivolous or the issue has been authoritatively decided.

without a response. The response must comply with this paragraph.

(j) Orders.

(j)(1) **Signed, written decision is an order.** A written decision of the court signed by a judge however denominated—including "order," "ruling," "memorandum decision," "opinion," or "minute entry"—is an order. An order must state whether it is entered upon trial, stipulation, motion or the court's initiative. Except as provided in Rule 58A(a) and except as directed by the court, an order must be prepared as a separate document and must not incorporate any matter by reference.

- (j)(2) **Appealable orders.** The order is a final judgment that can be appealed if it satisfies Rule 54(b) and Rule 58A.
- (j)(3) **Order to pay money.** An order for the payment of money can be enforced in the same manner as if it were a judgment.
- (j)(4) **Ex parte orders.** Except as otherwise provided by these rules, an order made without notice to the other parties can be vacated or modified by the judge who made it with or without notice.
- (j)(5) **Preparing and serving a proposed order.** Within 14 days after the court's decision, the prevailing party must prepare a proposed order conforming to the decision and serve the proposed order on the other parties for review and approval as to form. The court may direct that a party other than the prevailing party prepare and serve the proposed order. The court may prepare and serve the order. If the prevailing party or the party assigned to prepare the order fails to serve a proposed order within 14 days, any party may prepare and serve a proposed order.

147	(k)(3) include the signed stipulation in or attached to the motion; and
148	(k)(4) be accompanied by a proposed order that has been approved as to
149	form by the other parties.
150	(I) Ex parte motions. If a statute or rule permits a motion to be filed without
151	serving the motion on the other parties, the party seeking relief may file a an ex
152	parte motion which must:
153	(I)(1) be titled substantially as: "Ex parte motion to [short phrase describing the
154	relief requested];
155	(I)(2) include a concise statement of the relief requested and the grounds for the
156	relief requested;
157	(I)(3) include the statute or rule authorizing the ex parte motion; and
158	(I)(4) be accompanied by a proposed order.
159	(m) Motion in responding memorandum or reply memorandum prohibited. A
160	party may not make a motion in a memorandum. A party who objects to evidence in
161	another party's motion or memorandum must not file a motion to strike that evidence.
162	The proper procedure is to include in the subsequent memorandum an objection to the
163	evidence.
164	(n) Over-length motion or memorandum. The court may permit a party to file an
165	over-length motion or memorandum upon ex parte motion and a showing of good
166	cause.
167	(o) Limited statement of facts and authority. No statement of facts and legal
168	authorities beyond the concise statement of the relief requested and the grounds for the
169	relief requested required in paragraph (c) is required for the following motions:
170	(o)(1) motion to allow an over-length motion or memorandum;
171	(o)(2) motion to extend the time to perform an act, if the motion is filed before the
172	time to perform the act has expired;
173	(o)(3) motion to continue a hearing;
174	(o)(4) motion to appoint a guardian ad litem;
175	(o)(5) motion to substitute parties;
176	(o)(6) motion to refer the action to or withdraw it from the court's ADR program;

Rule 7. (Repeal and reenact)

177	(o)(7) motion for a settlement conference; and
178	(o)(8) motion to approve a stipulation of the parties.
179	(p) Limit on order to show cause. motion for an order to show cause may be filed
180	only for enforcement of an existing order or for sanctions for violating an existing order.
181	A motion for an order to show cause must be supported by an affidavit or declaration
182	under Utah Code Section 78B-5-705 sufficient to show cause to believe a party has
183	violated a court order.
184	Advisory Committee Notes
185	[Add to existing notes]
186	The purpose of the 2014 amendments is to:
187	(1) combine a motion and its supporting memorandum in one document, as in the
188	federal court;
189	(2) eliminate motions to strike evidence relied upon to support or respond to a
190	motion;
191	(3) substantially reduce proposed orders;
192	(4) bring regularity to motion practice; and
193	(5) return the analysis of whether an appeal from an order is proper to the traditional
194	analysis under Rule 54 and Rule 58A, notwithstanding the holdings in:
195	Central Utah Water Conservancy District v. King, 2013 UT 13;
196	Giusti v. Sterling Wentworth Corp., 2009 UT 2; and
197	Code v. Utah Dept of Health, 2007 UT 43, and.
198	